## Polster, Lieder, Woodruff, & Lucchesi, L.C.

### 12412 Powerscourt Drive

St. Louis, Missouri 63131-3615

Telephone: (314) 238-2400 Facsimile: (314) 238-2401
Date: April 8, 2005
To: United States Patent & Trademark Office
Company:
Fax No.: 1-703-872-9306
From: Nelson D. Noltc
No. of Pages, Including Cover: 6
Re: LUMA 7366D1 – Response to Office Action
Comments:
THE DOCUMENTS ACCOMPANYING THIS TRANSMISSION CONTAIN INFORMATION FROM THE LAW FIRM OF POLSTER, LIEDER, WOODRUFF & LUCCIESI, L.C. THE INFORMATION IS CONPIDENTIAL AND/OR LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. THE INFORMATION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ON THIS TRANSMISSION SHEET. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, ANY DISCLOSURE, COPYING, DISTRIBUTION OR THE TAKING OF ANY ACTION IN RELIANCE ON THE CONTENTS OF THIS FACSIMILE INFORMATION IS PROHIBITED. THE DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE RETURNED TO THIS FIRM IMMEDIATELY. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS FACSIMILE IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE IMMEDIATELY SO THAT WE CAN ARRANGE FOR THE RETURN OF THE DOCUMENTS TO US AT NO COST TO YOU.
IF YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS WITH THIS TRANSMISSION, PLEASE CONTACT THE SENDER AT (314) 238-2400

Docket No.: LUMA 7366D1

Date: April 8, 2005

In re application of: Isidore I. Lamke, et al.			
Serial No.: 10/787,494	Group No.: 2875		
Filed: February 25, 2004	Examiner: Anabel M. Ton		
For: LED LAMP ASSEMBLY	<del>.</del>		

Mail Stop <u>AMENDMENT</u> Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### TRANSMITTAL

Transp	nitted h	erewith is:
$\boxtimes$	An am	endment in the above-identified application in response to the Office
Action	mailed	March 29, 2005;
$\boxtimes$	No fee	is required.
Small	Entity S	Status:
	$\boxtimes$	Applicant claims small entity status.
		Applicant DOES NOT claim small entity status.

The fee has been calculated as shown:

	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate – Other Than A Small Entity	Rate Small Entity	Additional Fee
Total Claims	24 -	24	O	\$50.00	\$25.00	\$0.00
Indep. Claims	4 -	4	0	\$200.00	\$100.00	\$0.00
		Total A	Additional	Fee:		\$0.00

No fee is due.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit overpayment under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required by this paper to Deposit Account 162201. Duplicate copies of this sheet are enclosed.

Registration No: 42,938

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Fax No. 703-872-9306 on April 8, 2005.

APR 0 8 2008

# PATENT IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:	Isidore 1. Lamke et al.	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the	
SERIAL NO.:	10/787,494	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Fax No. 703-872-9306 on April 8, 2005.	
FILED:	February 25, 2004	10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,	
EXAMINER:	Anabel M. Ton	MINSC	
DOCKET NO.:	LUMA 7366D1	Nelson D. Nolte, Rég. No. 42,938	
ART UNIT:	2875		
FOR: LED LAN	MP ASSEMBLY		

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

### Honorable Commissioner:

In response to the Office Action mailed March 29, 2005, it is respectfully requested that the Commissioner reconsider the rejections made in view of the following amendments and remarks.

#### REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected claims 18-23 of the present application under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting in view of claims 9-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,739,733. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection in that 35 U.S.C. § 121 prevents the Examiner from restricting a claim from an application and then subsequently holding that the same claim is an obvious variation of the claims of the application from which it was restricted.